

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,775	03/01/2002	Paul Joseph Berlowitz	JJD-0101	8963
27810	7590 01/13/2006		EXAMINER	
EXXONMOBIL RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING COMPANY			TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
P.O. BOX 900)			
1545 ROUTE 22 EAST ANNANDALE, NJ 08801-0900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W	,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/086,775	BERLOWITZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 No	ovember 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,5-10 and 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,5-10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/086,775 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed November 2, 2005 in which claims 1, 6 and 9 wee amended.

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 2, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification for "greater than 50% of the hydrocarbon has particles about 0.1 microns in size" or greater than 80 % of the hydrocarbon particles are "about 0.1 microns in size." The

Application/Control Number: 10/086,775

Art Unit: 1714

specification supports a range for these proportions (greater than 50% and 80%) of about 0.1 to about 1 microns and not one particle size.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 5-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 9913031 in view of WO 9907465.

WO '031 teaches an emulsion of Fischer-Tropsch (FT) derived fuel, a non-Fischer Tropsch water and a surfactant (see abstract). WO '031 teaches that the fuel emulsion is directed to reducing pollutants (see page 1, second and third paragraphs). The FT fuel may be such a fuel that boils in the diesel fuel range and the non-FT fuel boils in the same range as the FT fuel (diesel)(see page 3, second and third paragraph; page 9, first paragraph).

The non-ionic surfactant is present in the emulsion in an amount from 0.001wt% to 5-wt% and has an HLB of 7-25 (see page 4 last paragraph bridging page 5 through the first paragraph). WO '031 teaches that the emulsion is formed by conventional emulsion technology, such as shearing (see page 5, last paragraph). The surfactant and water are mixed and then the fuel is added to this mixture and blended until an

Application/Control Number: 10/086,775

Art Unit: 1714

emulsion forms. The preferred water to fuel ratio is 30:70 (see page 8 last two paragraphs).

WO '031 does not specifically teach the particle size of the hydrocarbon and viscosity of emulsion. However, with respect to the viscosity of the emulsion it would been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the shearing conditions through routine experimentation to obtain the best viscosity results. As to optimization results, a patent will not be granted based upon the optimization of result effective variables when the optimization is obtained through routine experimentation unless there is a showing of unexpected results which properly rebuts the *prima facie* case of obviousness. See *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 276, 205 USPQ 215, 219 (CCPA 1980). See also *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936-37 (Fed. Cir. 1990), and *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

With respect to the particle size, WO '465 teaches this difference.

WO '465 teaches a hydrocarbon and water emulsion wherein the droplets have a particle size in the range of 0.1 to 2.0 microns.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare an emulsified fuel wherein the particle size of the droplets are about 0.1 microns because WO '464 teaches the emulsified fuels contain particles of this dimension.

6. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the present invention is directed to a microemulsion whereas WO '031 teaches a macroemulsion.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,775 Page 5

Art Unit: 1714

The examiner has carefully reviewed the instant specification and does not find, anywhere in the specification, the term microemulsion. Therefore, for the reasons set forth above, the claims are deemed to be obvious in view of the combination of WO '031 and WO '465.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

10086775/010906